

Preserve
Destroy

Pages 1-17

App T IX 4

Previous References

193 5-36
(*ML*)

File No 98-35
Serial Nos 16 17, 23
26 + 27

Letter References

nl 20-35 (72 & 74)
nl 134-447 (1-2)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos *five*

Part B for *March 1936*

SUBJECT

*Entertainment of temporary establishment for collecting
Toll and pilgrim tax in the Nanyangud Town
Municipality*

8(26) No L 7392-3 d 28 3 1936
To the Dy Comm Mysore Sh
The Comptroller
Sanctions

WPB 03607-GPB-22 500-2 8 35

LR
86/4/36

ms. Rm. 11/1

11

No D3 1926/35-36
Enc One

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MYSORE DISTRICT

Dated Mysore 23rd January 1936

FROM

B T KESAVAIENGAR Esq B A
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MYSORE DISTRICT
MYSORE

TO

M VENKATANARAYANAPPA, Esq, B A,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Development Department, Bangalore

24
16
REV SECT 1
3345
27 JAN 1936
L S O 23404

SIR

Entertainment of temporary establishment for
collecting toll and pilgrim tax in the Nanjangud
Town Municipality

In forwarding, herewith, report No H C 54 dated
16th January 1936, from the President of Nanjangud Town
Municipal Council, regarding the above, I have the honour
to state that a recommendation was made to Government in
this Office letter No D3 1926/35-36 dated 5th December
1935 for the entertainment of 12 Peons on Rs 9/- each
for collecting toll and pilgrim tax with effect from 1st
January 1936, for a period of six months. The President
reports that the temporary establishment has already been
entertained from the 1st January 1936, in anticipation of
Government sanction, in view of the urgency of the work

I request you to kindly obtain sanction of
Government for the entertainment of the temporary establish-
ment for a period of six months from the 1st January 1936

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M. S. S. S.
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
Mysore District

A draft of 90 sanctioning
the proposals made in the President's letter below
is sub^d for approval (only 11 gatekeepers - 8 on Rs 9/-
to 13 on Rs 7/- each has
been entertained)

for
27/1/36 M. S. S. S.

Final proposals for the levy of pilgrim
tax have not been recd yet from the Decc, and
11/43

Serial
No

It will be sometime before the rules therefor are read
and sanctioned brought into force. The rules (revised)
read from the H.C. have not been sanctioned yet & they are
under the consideration of the Govt. Even these cannot be brought
into force until the expiry of a month from the date
of the publication of the rules sanctioned by the Govt. (Vide
Sec 62 of the Town Revenue Act). The old rules ceased to be in force from 11/36
Govt. not therefore clear why the
H.C. entertained the staff from 11/36 in anticipation
of sanction & what ^{staff has} ~~they have~~ been doing all along
as neither toll nor pilgrim tax could be levied, till
sanction of the H.C. is accorded. It is stated in the
Dy. Commr's letter that the temp. estab. has been entertained
in view of the urgency of the work. What the urgency
was has not been stated.

Please furnish a draft enquiry

Jm
24/1

Draft submitted for approval

Rmr
7/2/36 MPP

Dy. Commr)

Jm
7/2MPP
10/2 Issues
Jm

The Deputy Commissioner Mysore Dt

No 26126 dated 12-2-36

Enquires why the establishment was entertained

WD 03594-GPB-30 000-18 7 35

Letter No D₃-1926/35-36 dated 3-3-36 from the Deputy Commissioner Mysore Dt furnishing the information called for-

In this office letter No L 4762/ ML 98-35-10 dated 20-12-35, the Deputy Commissioner was definitely informed that the levy of toll in the Nanjangud Municipality should cease from 1-1-36 till the revised toll rules were finally sanctioned by Govt. The revised rules were sanctioned in G.O. No L 6023-4 dated 7-2-36 and they could be brought into effect only from 7-3-36 as per section 64 of the Town Municipalities Regulation. The levy of toll from 1-1-36 to 7-3-36 seems to be therefore illegal, and is liable to be called in question.

The reason put forward for the levy of toll from 1-1-36 is that the levy of toll in the Nanjangud Municipality has been in force for several years and that sanction was sought for only to the revised rules. But in G.O. No L 4577-2/ ML 224-33-14 dated 29-4-35, the toll rules were sanctioned only for a period of 6 months from 1-7-35 to 1-1-36 and under Section 64(c) of the Town Municipalities Regulation, the Municipal Council ^{could not} ~~cannot~~ levy toll, sanction is sought for to the entertainment of 5 gate keepers @ Rs 9 each p.m. & 3 @ Rs 7 each p.m. for 6 months from 1-1-36.

23

C^x linked file

(one month from the date of publication of the sanctioned rules)

D^x linked file

after that date, until the revised rules were sanctioned & brought into force

3345√4083

General and Revenue
Secretariat

ML File No 98

of 1935

[App F III-2

Serial
No

Submitted for orders

Rm my
9/3

The establishment already entertained
maybe sanctioned and the irregularity of the
very system till the revised rules were sanctioned
pointed out by a do letter to the Dy Commr

Dev (Secy)
Secy (Secy)

Am
11/3

Am
11/3

Am
12/3

Am

14/3/36

Am
14/3/36

Am
14/3

Two drafts submitted for approval

Rm my
16/3


Dev (Secy)

Am
18/3

Am
24/3

gssue

Am
25/3



26

The Deputy Comm Mysore
Comptroller to Govt

No 2 7392-3 dated 28-3-36

Sanctions the entertainment of the temporary ests

27

The D C Mysore

No 2 7394 dated 28-3-36

States that the action of the Mangalore Mch in
having lined till from 1-1-36 till the revised rules were
sanctioned & brought into force was irregular

WD 03 94-GPB-80 000-18 7 85

5940
11/3

OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
12 13 15 35
NO 1216

5987
19/3

B M
30/3

NOTE-Contn Sheet

File No

of 193

General and Revenue
Secretariat

No H-C 54/35 26

TOWN MUNICIPAL OFFICE

NANJANGUD 16-1-1936

Frc 1

THE PRESIDENT

TOWN MUNICIPAL COUNCIL NANJANGUD

To

THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

MYSORE DISTRICT


MYSORE

S

With reference to your Memo No. 03/1926/25/36 dated 31-12-1935 forwarding a copy of the letter addressed to the Development Secretary, submitting for sanction, the revised rates regarding the levy of toll framed by the Municipal Council etc. I have the honour to state that the collection of toll which was hitherto let out on contract has been taken up and the same worked out Amami and ~~Exp~~ gate keepers on Rs 9/- and there on Rs 7/- have been entertained from 1st January 1936. In this connection I beg to invite kind reference to the last para of your letter No. 03/1926/35 26 dated 31-12-1935 addressed to the Development Secretary recommending for sanction, the extra temporary establishment as the expenditure in question exceeds Rs 50/- a month as the establishment which was found to be absolutely necessary has already been entertained in anticipation of sanction. I request you to

6

to kindly address the Government to accord their
sanction early



Yrs

I have the honour to be
Sir

Your most obedient servant

J. A. Srinivasan
Secretary

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

()
the 193

Date of issue

12/2/36

Signed by

WRA S Rose

List of enclosures

Copied by

WRA S Rose
12/2/36

Examined by

Section Me

File No

98-35

Serial No

17

No

L6/26

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Sir,

With reference to your letter
No D3-1926/35-36 dated 24-1-36
requesting sanction to the
entertainment of certain temporary
establishment for collecting toll
and pilgrim tax departmentally
in the Naryangud Municipality
for a period of six months from
1-1-1936 and stating that the
establishment has already been
entertained in anticipation of
sanction, I am directed to
state that as per the above

letter No 24761^x (put up for refce separately) / Ml 98-35-10 dated

20-12-35, the Municipal Council
could not
cannot deny either toll or pilgrim tax

from 1-1-36 as proposed until the
rules ^{were} revised in accordance
with the views contained therein

and finally approved by Govt

As the rules for the levy of toll
^{had} have not ~~yet~~ been approved by Govt
and as ^{even revised} the rules for the levy of pilgrim
tax have not ~~been~~ been submitted

to Govt by the Municipal Council

I am directed to enquire ^{where the urgency was for the entertainment} ~~was reported~~
of the establishment ~~was entertained~~ and
what work the establishment has
been doing all along

I have etc

///

Yr. Secy to Govt
Bom. Dev. Deptt
M

Extra 9 10

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated Bangalore 12th February 1936,

No

From

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Development Department

To

The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District

Sir

With reference to your letter No D 3-1926/35-36 dated 24th January 1936 requesting sanction to the entertainment of certain temporary establishment for collecting toll and pilgrim tax departmentally in the Nanjangud Municipality for a period of six months from 1st January 1936 and stating that the establishment has already been entertained in anticipation of sanction, I am directed to state that as per this office letter No L 4767/M1 98-35-10 dated 20th December 1935, the Municipal Council could not levy either toll or pilgrim tax from 1st January 1936 as proposed until the rules were revised in accordance with the views contained therein and finally approved by Government. As the rules for the levy of toll ^{have} ~~have~~ not yet been approved by Government and as even the revised rules for the levy of pilgrim tax have not been submitted to Government by the Municipal Council I am directed to enquire what the urgency was for the entertainment of the establishment and what work the establishment has been doing all along

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,


For Secretary to Government,
Development Department


No D3 1926/35-36
Enc one

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
MYSORE DISTRICT

Dated Mysore 3rd March 1936

FROM

B T KESAVAIENGAR Esq B A
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MYSORE DISTRICT
MYSORE

4083/2

To

M Venkatanaranappa, Esq , B A ,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Development Department Bangalore

SIR /

Entertainment of certain temporary establishment for
collecting toll and pilgrim tax departmentally in the
Nanjangud Town Municipality for a period of six months
=====

with reference to your No L 6126/M1 98-35-17 dated 12th
February 1936, re the above, I have the honor to state that
the levy of toll in Nanjangud Municipality has been in force
for several years and it was only the revised rules for the
collection of toll that were submitted to Government in the
light of modification as directed in your letter No L 4762/M1
98-35-10 dated 20-12-1935, As the collection of toll during
the half year, commencing from 1st January 1936 was not leased
out, the entertainment of the temporary establishment was
proposed by the Municipal Council for collecting toll by aman


Under these circumstances, I request you to kindly obtain
sanction of Government for the entertainment of the temporary
establishment for a period of six months with effect from 1st
January 1936

The report of the President is submitted for kind
reference

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,


Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District

A N

No Hc 55
35-36

TOWN MUNICIPAL OFFICE

NANJANGUD 25-2 1936

Frc 1

THE PRESIDENT

TOWN MUNICIPAL COUNCIL NANJANGUD

To

THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER


MYSORE DISTRICT

MYSORE

Sr

With reference to your memo No B3 1926/35 36 dated 15-2-36 forwarding copy of letter No d 6126/mc 98 35-17 dated 12-2-36 received from the Secretary to Government Development Department re the entertainment of the temporary establishment in anticipation of sanction etc, I have the honour to state that the Municipal Council resolved at their meeting on 23-11-35 to have the temporary establishment for the collection of toll from 1-1-36. As during the previous year and in the 1st half of the current year the right of collection of toll had been leased out there was no necessity to have any establishment for this purpose. But ~~the~~ levy of toll itself has been in force in this municipality for several years past. It was only the revised rules for the collection of toll that were submitted for the sanction of Government in the light of modifications as directed in Development Secretary's letter No d 4762/mc 98 35-16 dated 20-12-35. As there was very little time ahead and as the collection of toll had to be done departmentally as per resolution of the Council from 1-1-36 the situation was reported to the Deputy Commissioner on 28-12-35 and approval obtained to safeguard municipal revenues.

Under the circumstances I would again request you to kindly address Government to sanction the temporary establishment-entitled from 1-1-36 as already recommended by you in your letter N B3 1926/35.



I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant
Prasanna
President

Exha 12

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

✓ ✓

Read -

Correspondence ending with letter No D3 1926/35-36 dated the
3rd March 1936 from the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District request-
ing sanction to the entertainment of the marginally noted temporary

Eight gate-keepers on Rs 9/- each p m }
Three -do- on Rs 7/- " } establishment for collect-

ing toll departmentally in the Nanjangud Town Municipality for a
period of six months, with effect from 1st January 1936

O R D E R No

7392-3/ one 98-35-26

Dated Bangalore the 27th March 1936
28

S a n c t i o n e d

Asubvarao
For Secretary to Government
Rmr Development Department

To

The Deputy Commissioner Mysore District
The Comptroller to Government

c n -3

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

the 193

Date of issue 28/3/36 193

Signed by Mr A S Road

May this be—

- (i) printed?
- (ii) treated as a standing order?
- (iii) published in the Gazette
English Part?
- (iv) translated?
- (v) placed at the disposal of the press?
- (vi) published in the Gazette
Kannada Part?

eight gatekeepers m Rs 7/- each
three m do m Rs 7/- "

C. V. 13

Part I

Section ml

File No 98-35

Serial No 26

Proceedings of the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Correspondence ending with

Read— Letter no D3. 4926
3rd March 35-36

dated the 23rd January
1936 from the Deputy
Commr, Mysore Dist.,
requesting sanction to

the establishment of
the marginal notes

for the purpose of
establishment

for collecting all the
pilgrim tax ^{departmentally} in the
Karnajangal District
for a period of six

months, with effect
from 1st January 1936

l p. To

order no. - 7392-3 & 2813/36
Sanctioned

193
J. Leg. 10/10
Sun D D

𠂔

The D. C. Myerson & Co
a complete

List of Enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

SUBJECT

15

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

()
the 193

Date of issue

Signed by

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Draft II

Conference

Section *me*

File No 98-35

Serial No 27

No

To Dear Sir,

In continuation of go No 273923

ml98-35-26 dated 28/3/36 sanctioning the
~~extension~~ temporary establishment required

for a period of 6 months for the

collection of toll in the Mangayund
Municipality ^{beginning 1-1-36} departmentally, I amdesirous to state ^{that} the rules for the

levy of toll in the Mangayund

Municipality were ^{revised and} sanctioned in

go No 27577-9/ ME 224-33-14

dated 29-4-35 only for a period

of 6 months - from 1-7-35 to 1-1-36

and ^{it was} ~~stated~~ you were definitely^{stated} informed in this office letter No

2 4762/ ME 98-35-10 dated 20-12-35

that the levy of ~~tax~~ should cease from 1-1-36
 till the revised rules ^{in accordance with the instructions given} were finally sanctioned by

Govt and brought into force in the Municipality. In the interim the
 levy of toll ~~on the toll~~ from 1-1-36 before the revised rules were sanctioned & brought
 into force was ~~continued~~ ^{continued}

~~The revised rules for the levy of toll~~
 were sanctioned on G.O. No. 26023-4 dated 7-2-36

~~the levy of toll from 1-1-36 till the date
 from which the rules are brought into force
 under Section 64 of the Town Municipalities~~

~~Regulation is ~~the~~ ^{an} irregular ~~illegal~~ and the
 action of the Municipal Council ^{in this respect} ~~Contrary~~ to
 the clear & definite orders of Govt is highly
 irregular.~~

Yours sincerely
 MNV

for
 B.T.

B. T. Kesavaiah of B.A.

D. C. Mysore

Confidential

Extra

17

Do No L 7394/me 98 35 27 ^{27th} March 1936
₂₈

Dear Sir

78 35-26 In continuation of Government Order No L 7392/me
dated 28-3-36 sanctioning the temporary
establishment required for the collection of toll in the
Nanjargud Municipality departmentally for a period of six
months from 1st January 1936 I am desired to state that
the rules for the levy of toll in the Nanjargud Municipality
were revised and sanctioned in Government Order No L 7577-9
Ml 224-53-11 dated 20th April 1935 only for a period of 6
months from 1st July 1935 to 1st January 1936 and it was
definitely stated in this office letter No L 4702/Ml 98-35-
10 dated 20th December 1935 that the levy should cease from
1st January 1936 till the revised rules in accordance with
the instructions given were finally sanctioned by Govern-
ment and brought into force in the Municipality In the
circumstances the levy of toll from 1st January 1936 before

the